

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,146	12/01/2003	Hans-Armin Ohlmann	PAT 52876-2 1221	
26123 75	90 01/31/2005		EXAMINER	
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			EDGAR, RICHARD A	
	HANGE PLAZA FREET SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON			3745	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/724,146	OHLMANN, HANS-ARMIN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard Edgar	3745			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1:  or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 D	ecember 2003 under 35 U.S.C.	111(a).			
		action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,9,10,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,11,12,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	h)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	u)-(d) or (f)			
	) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. § 110(0	) (d) 0. (i).			
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	•				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/2004</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraph 0013, "van" appears to be a misspelling of -- vane --.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 2,335,817 issued to Topalov.

The Topalov patent shows in Figure 3 a vertical axis wind turbine, comprising two counter-rotating rotors 1 mounted on first and second spaced apart vertical axes 2, each said rotor having a plurality of rotor blades extending generally inwardly from an outer circumference, said vertical axes being mounted on a support structure 4 which is in turn rotatable on a third vertical axis 19 on a platform, said third axis being spaced from a point midway between the first and second axes in a direction at 90 degrees to and forward from a line between the first and second axes (see Figure 3), the vertical axis wind turbine further comprising a guide vane mounted on the support structure, having a vertex forward of the third vertical axis in the direction at 90 degrees from a line between the first and second axes, the guide vane having left and right symmetrical

Art Unit: 3745

vane portions 33 extending towards the rotors so as to direct airflow from wind primarily towards portions of the rotors outboard of the first and second axes, the guide vane also tending to keep the vertical axis wind turbine oriented with the guide vane's axis of symmetry pointing forwardly into the wind (elements 20, 21 from Figure 1, see page 3, lines 34-37).

The guide vane 33 substantially shields portions of the rotors 1 inboard of the first and second vertical axes 2.

The rotor blades are curved in airfoil-like shapes relative to the airflow for enhanced efficacy relative to that of a straight blade (page 1, lines 40-43).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 2,335,817 issued to Topalov as applied to claims 1, 2, 5 and 6, respectively, above, and further in view of United States Patent No. 4,047,833 issued to Decker.

The Topalov reference discloses a vertical axis wind turbine but does not disclose an unrelated structure supported above the turbine.

Decker shows in Figure 1, part of a building 11 supported above a vertical axis wind turbine for the purpose of minimizing costs associated with assembling the wind turbine.

Since Topalov show a vertical axis wind turbine and Decker suggest using a structure supported above a vertical axis wind turbine, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the vertical wind turbine of Topalov to have a structure supported above the wind turbine, as is shown by Decker, for the purpose of minimizing costs associated with the assembling the wind turbine.

#### Cited Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 419,345 (Otto) shows a wind turbine having counter-rotating rotors with pivoting regulating doors 13 for guiding the upstream fluid towards the rotors.
- U.S. Patent No. 4,764,683 (Coombes) teaches a counter-rotating wind turbine with upstream vanes 34 pivoted about an axis 48 by moving a collar 50 vertically.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

\_\_ . \_

Art Unit: 3745

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

All of the claims listed immediately above require moveable deflector flaps on the ends of the vane portions, which when moved forwardly from a position coplanar with the vanes, deflect air away from the rotors.

The Topalov reference (U.S. Patent No. 2,335,817) does not have deflector flaps, but controls the rotor speed by pivoting the vanes to an open position (see lines 37, 38) to allow upstream air to enter the between the rotors, counteracting the rotational moment of the rotors.

Similarly, Pohl (U.S. Patent No. 4,156,580) uses flaps to control the speed of the rotors. However, the flaps are controlled to counteract (rotating the flaps to position b) the torque of the rotors, instead of deflecting the air away from the rotors.

Otto (U.S. Patent No. 419,345) controls the rotor speed by adjusting the volume of upstream air by pivoting regulating doors 13 to either a more open or more closed position. There are no flaps coplanar with the vanes 4.

Coombes (U.S. Patent No. 4,764,683) deflects air away from the rotors by pivoting the vanes, but does not fairly suggest using flaps.

In the examiner's opinion, it would have been nonobvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Topalov (U.S. 2,335,817) turbine to have the pivoting deflector flaps as claimed, since none of

Art Unit: 3745

the cited references, in any combination, fairly suggest the vanes with coplanar flaps

which pivot forwardly to deflect air away from the rotors.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 am until

4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Edgar

Examiner

Art Unit 3745

RE

EDWARD K. LOOK

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

1/16/05